

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,443	03/31/2004	George L. Sesser	737-261	3782
23117	7590 05/18/2005	EXAMINER		
	/ANDERHYE, PC GLEBE ROAD, 11TH F	NGUYEN, DINH Q		
	N, VA 22203	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3752	

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

								
	-	Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/813,443	3	SESSER ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Dinh Q. Ng		3752				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addr	ess			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESIDENCE OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNICATION IN THE PROPERTY OF TH	N. R 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the statut iod will apply and will atute, cause the applic	nt, however, may a reply be time tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this comi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31	1 January 2005	j.					
2a) [This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 28-67 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 28-67 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		·				
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
•		EXAMINET. NO	te the attached Office	Action of form FTC	-132.			
•	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have beer ents have beer priority docume reau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicati nts have been receive e 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National St	tage			
Attachmer	nt(s)							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔯 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ er No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/04 & 1/05</u> .		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		52)			

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 28-67 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-27 of U.S. Patent No. 6,736,332. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of common subject matter as follows:

Claims 28 of the instant application cites a sprinkler head comprising: a base, a nozzle assembly, an adjustable arcuate discharge orifice, a water distribution plate supported on a shaft, and an arc adjustment ring, which are fully disclosed in claim 5 of the '332 patent.

Claim 67 of the instant application cites a sprinkler head comprising: a base, an elongated stem, a nozzle and stream deflector, a water distribution plate, and a throttle member with flexible tabs, which are fully disclosed in claim 16 of the '332 patent.

3. Claims 28-67 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-108 of U.S. Patent No. 6,651,905.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,443

Art Unit: 3752

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of common subject matter as follows:

Claims 28 of the instant application cites a sprinkler head comprising: a base, a nozzle assembly, an adjustable arcuate discharge orifice, a water distribution plate supported on a shaft, and an arc adjustment ring, which are fully disclosed in claim 1 of the '905 patent.

Claim 67 of the instant application cites a sprinkler head comprising: a base, an elongated stem, a nozzle and stream deflector, a water distribution plate, and a throttle member with flexible tabs, which are fully disclosed in claim 21 of the '905 patent.

4. Claims 28-67 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-29 of copending Application No. 10/634,747. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of common subject matter as follows:

Claims 28 of the instant application cites a sprinkler head comprising: a base, a nozzle assembly, an adjustable arcuate discharge orifice, a water distribution plate supported on a shaft, and an arc adjustment ring, which are fully disclosed in claim 1 of the '747 application.

Claim 53 of the instant application cites a sprinkler head comprising: a base, a nozzle assembly, a water distributor, an arc adjustment member, and a throttle control member with a flow restriction portion, which are fully disclosed in claim 13 of the '747 application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/813,443

Art Unit: 3752

Conclusion

Page 4

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patents are cited to show the art with respect to a sprinkler head:

Mitzlaff, Onofrio, and De Los Santos.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dinh Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4907. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Scherbel can be reached on 571-272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dinh Q Nguyen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3752

dqn